# **GEODESIC NEIGHBORHOODS FOR PIECEWISE AFFINE INTERPOLATION OF SPARSE DATA**

## **Gabriele Facciolo and Vicent Caselles**

Departament de Tecnologies de la Informació i les Comunicacions, Universitat Pompeu Fabra - Barcelona gabriele.facciolo@upf.edu, vicent.caselles@upf.edu

#### Abstract

We propose a new interpolation method for sparse data that allows incorporation of geometric information of a reference image *u*. The idea consists in defining a geodesic Voronoi cell for each data sample, and fit a model to interpolate inside each cell. A geodesic distance permits both: to effectively adapt the shape of the cells to the image structures; and to compute a set of neighboring samples that are used for fitting a piecewise affine model at each cell.

# Constrained region merging

**Problem:** When interpolating noisy data

• the model of each cell is independently estimated, • adjacent cells may end up with different models. Our solution: Merge adjacent regions with compatible models, and compute a common model to reduce noise effects.



Result without merging

# Objective

Interpolate a set of range measurements (LIDAR or sparse disparity data [1]) using the additional knowledge provided by a photograph u of the scene.







Interpolated samples.

Lambertian hypothesis: a uniform surface with a constant angle has a constant intensity in the image.

Allows to extrapolate information across uniform regions of the image.



Before merging



• Error term:  $RErr(X, f) = \sum_{x \in X \cap \Lambda} |f_X(x) - H(x)|^2$ *H*: the initial plane interpolation  $f_X$ : the affine model for the region X

Simplified Mumford-Shah [5] minimizes

• **Boundary lenght:** g(s) is big at poorly contrasted boundaries and small at well contrasted ones.

 $E(B, f) = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)} RErr(R, f) + \lambda \int_B g(s) ds, \quad \lambda \ge 0.$ 

- Also constrained by the segments of the original image [6].
- Greedy algorithm: merges pair of regions while the error term is small.

After merging

# Results







# Geodesic Voronoi Cells and Neighborhoods

We use the geodesic distance to incorporate the radiometric information provided by the image *u* into the interpolation as in [2].

Reference Image  $u(x) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , with  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Positions of the samples  $\Lambda \subset \Omega$ .

Depth values of samples  $G(\lambda) : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Curve C(p) :  $[0,1] \rightarrow \Omega$ , and  $C_{s,t}$  curve connecting *s* and *t*.

Geodesic distance between s and t measures the minimum variation of u between s and t

 $d(s,t) = \min_{C_{s,t}} \int_0^1 |\nabla u \cdot \dot{C}_{s,t}(p)| + \varepsilon |\dot{C}_{s,t}(p)| dp$ 

**Observe:** The shortest path is the one with less discontinuities of u along it.

**Geodesic Voronoi diagram** of the sites in  $\Lambda$ , successfully accounts for discontinuities in the image.



Reference image



#### Samples & Voronoi cells



Geodesic Voronoi cells



# Image

#### Discussion

- Method for interpolating range data that incorporates the geometric information provided by an image of the scene.
- Geodesic neighborhoods constitute a fast and robust tool for modelling the local information, it can be adapted to other (non-affine) models [3].
- Poor results for badly contrasted edges between strongly textured regions.

**Geodesic neighborhood**  $GN_K(p)$  of the point p, is the set formed by the K-nearest (in the geodesic sense) samples of  $\Lambda$  to the point p. Samples in  $GN_K(p)$  are likely to have the same model as p.

Geodesic Neighborhood

# Robust affine plane interpolation using $GN_K$

Profile: Linear blend of the 5

nearest (geodesic) samples

For each point  $p \in \Lambda$  we fit an affine plane trough  $GN_K(p)$ . Then we extended the plane to the entire cell, the initial piecewise affine model H is the union of all the cells.



If  $GN_K(p)$  contains outlier samples (that do not belong) to the same surface as p) then the result will be biased. To remove these outliers we use a modified RANdom SAmple Consensus (RANSAC) [4].

#### More results at: http://gpi.upf.edu/static/geoint.

## References

- 1. N. Sabater, A. Almansa, and J-M. Morel, "Rejecting wrong matches in stereovision," CMLA Preprint, 2008.
- 2. L. Yatziv and G. Sapiro, "Fast image and video colorization using chrominance blending," *IEEE TIP*, 2006.
- 3. D. Shepard, "A two-dimensional interpolation function for irregularly-spaced data," ACM Nat. Conf., 1968.
- 4. M.A. Fischler and R.C. Bolles, "Random sample consensus: a paradigm for model fitting with applications to image analysis and automated cartography," Commun. ACM, 1981.
- 5. J-M. Morel and S. Solimini, Variational methods in image segmentation, Birkhauser Boston Inc., 1995.
- 6. R. Grompone, J. Jakubowicz, J-M. Morel, and G. Randall, "Lsd: a line segment detector on digital images," IEEE TPAMI, 2007.

using the same 5 samples